ATTACHMENT 2 (c)

**Annual Program Report** 

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

The National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment

ANNUAL PROGRAM REPORT (APR)



**<u>Program Eligibility</u>**: The program is to submit the two most recent APRs as part of the requirements for program eligibility using the NCAAA Template.

**Post Accreditation**: The program is required to annually complete an APR. The APR is to document a complete academic year.

APR's are prepared by the program coordinator in consultation with faculty teaching in the program. The reports are submitted to the head of department or college, and used as the basis for any modifications or changes in the program. The APR information is used to provide a record of improvements in the program and is used in the Self Study Report for Programs (SSRP) and by external reviews for accreditation.

1. Institution	Date of Report:
2. College/ Department	
3. Dean	
4. List all branches/locations offering this p	program
1	
2	
3.	
J	
4	

### **Annual Program Report**



### A. Program Identification and General Information

Program title and code
Name and position of person completing the APR
Academic year to which this report applies.
B Statistical Information
1. Number of students who started the program in the year concerned:
2. (a) Number of students who completed the program in the year concerned:
Completed the final year of the program:
Completed major tracks within the program (if applicable)
TitleNo
TitleNo
TitleNo
Title
2. (b) Completed an intermediate award specified as an early exit point (if any)
3. Apparent completion rate.
<ul> <li>(a) Percentage of students who completed the program,</li> <li>(Number shown in 2 (a) as a percentage of the number that started the program in that student intake.)</li> </ul>
(b) Percentage of students who completed an intermediate award (if any) (e.g. Associate degree within a bachelor degree program)

(Number shown in 2 (b) as a percentage of the number that started the program leading to that aw	vard
in that student intake).	



Comment on any special or unusual factors that might have affected the apparent completion rates (e.g. Transfers between intermediate and full program, transfers to or from other programs).

4. Enrollment Management and Cohort Analysis (Table 1)

**Cohort Analysis** refers to tracking a specific group of students who begin a given year in a program and following them until they graduate (How many students actually start a program and stay in the program until completion).

A **cohort** here refers to the total number of students enrolled in the program at the beginning of each academic year, immediately after the preparatory year. No new students may be added or transfer into a given cohort. Any students that withdraw from a cohort may not return or be added again to the cohort.

**Cohort Analysis** (Illustration): **Table 1** provides complete tracking information for the most recent cohort to complete the program, beginning with their first year and tracking them until graduation (students that withdraw are subtracted and no new students are added). Update the years as needed.

		Current Year
7-08 2008-09 2009-10	2010-11 2011-12	2012-13
YYP		

**Enrollment Management and Cohort Analysis (Table** 

a. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2008 - 09

b. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2009 - 10

c. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2010 - 11

d. Provide an analysis for the cohort that started PYP on 2011 - 12

**e** 



# \* PYP - Preparatory Year Program

7. Destination of graduates as shown in survey of graduating students (Include this information in years in which a survey of employment outcomes for graduating students is conducted).

Date of Survey					
Number Surveyed     Number Responded     Response Rate %					
Not Available for         Available for Employment           Destination         Employment					
Destination	Further Study	Other Reasons	Employed in Subject Field	Other Employment	Unemployed
Number					
Percent of Respondents			701		
Analysis: List the strengths and recommendations					

5	



# C. Program Context

Significant changes within the institution affecting the program (if any) during the past year. Implications for the program 2. Significant changes external to the institution affecting the program (if any) during the past year. Implications for the program

### **D.** Course Information Summary

1. Course Results. Describe and analyze how the individual NCAAA "Course Reports" are utilized to assess the program and to ensure ongoing quality assurance (eg. Analysis of course completion rates, grade distributions, and trend studies.)

(a.) Describe how the individual course reports are used to evaluate the program.

(b.) Analyze the completion rates, grade distributions, and trends to determine strengths and recommendations for improvement.

(1.) Completion rate analysis:

(2.) Grade distribution analysis:



(3.) Trend analysis (a study of the differences	s, changes, or developments over time; normally
several semesters or years):	

2. Analysis of Significant Results or Variations.

List any courses where completion rates, grade distribution, or trends are significantly skewed, high or low results, or departed from policies on grades or assessments. For each course indicate what was done to investigate, the reason for the significant result, and what action has been taken.

a. Course	Significant result or variation
Investigation undertaken	
Reason for significant result or variation	
Action taken (if required)	9
b. Course	Significant result or variation
Investigation undertaken	
Reason for significant result or variation	
Action taken (if required)	
c. Course	Significant result or variation
Investigation undertaken	



Reason for significant result or variation

Action taken (if required)

(Attach additional summaries if necessary)

### 4. Delivery of Planned Courses

(a) List any courses that were planned but not taught during this academic year and indicate the			
reason and what will need to be done if any compensating action is required.			
Course title and code	Explanation	Compensating action if required	

(b) Compensating Action Required for Units of Work Not Taught in Courses that were Offered. (Complete only where units not taught were of sufficient importance to require some compensating action)

Course	Unit of work	Reason
Compensating action if required		



Course	Unit of work	Reason
Compensating action if required		
Course	Unit of work	Reason
Compensating action if required		
		-21
Course	Unit of work	Reason
Compensating action if required		
	701-	

# E Program Management and Administration

List difficulties (if any)	Impact of difficulties on the	Proposed action to avoid future
encountered in management of	achievement of the program	difficulties in Response
the program	objectives	
-13	×	



# F. Summary Program Evaluation

1. Graduating Students Evaluation (To be rep	ported on in years when surveys are undertaken)
Date of Survey	
Attach survey report	
a. List most important recommendations for improvement, strengths and suggestions	Analysis (e.g. Assessment, action already taken, other considerations, strengths and recommendation for improvement.)
b. Changes proposed in the program (if any)	in response to this analysis and feedback.

Γ



2. Other Evaluation (e.g. Evaluations by employers or other stakeholders, external review)						
Describe evaluation process						
Attach review/survey report						
a. List most important recommendation			Analysis of recommendations for improvement: recommendations valid and what action will be			
improvement, strengths and suggestio improvement.	0118 101		n, action already taken, or other considerations?)			
improvement.		takei	i, action already taken, or other considerations.)			
			-			
b. Changes proposed in the program	(if any)	) in resp	ponse to this feedback.			
		<u>`</u>				
		1/6				
	1 1 4 1					
2. Ratings on Sub-Standards of Stand	iard 4 b	y progi	ram faculty and teaching staff; 4.1 to 4.10.			
(a) List sub-standards. Are the "Best I	Practice	s" follo	owed; Yes or No? Provide a revised rating for			
each sub-standard. Indicate action pro						
	s Z	50				
Call Chandrada	st Practices owed (Y/N	Star Rating	Tid ministric for immediate			
Sub-Standards	raci ed (	Ra	List priorities for improvement.			
	it P owe	tar				
	Bes Foll	5 S				
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						



4.4		
4.5		
4.6		
4.7		
4.8		5
4.9		
4.10		
Analysis of Sub-standards. List th program's self-evaluation of followin		and recommendations for improvement of the s.

### G. Program Course Evaluation

1. List courses taught during the year. Indicate for each course whether student evaluations were undertaken and/or other evaluations made of quality of teaching. For each course indicate if action is planned to improve teaching.

Course Title/Course Code	Student Evaluations		Other Evaluation	Action Planned		
	Yes No		(specify)	Yes	No	



(Add items or attach list if necessary)

2. List All Campus Branch/Locations (approved by Ministry of Higher Education or Higher Council of Education).

ch/Location	Approval By	Date
	ch/Location	ch/Location Approval By

List all courses taught by this program and for this program that are in other programs (if any).

Year	Course Code	Course Title	Required or Elective	Credit Hours	College or Department
Prep					
Year					
1 <sup>st</sup> Year					
Semester 1					
1 <sup>st</sup> Year Semester 2					

#### Kingdom of Saudi Arabia National Commission for Academic Accreditation & Assessment



2 <sup>nd</sup> Year			
Semester 1			
Semester 1			
			A
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year		-	
Semester 2			
Semester 2		-	
and w			
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year			
Semester 1			
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year			
Semester 2			
4 <sup>th</sup> Year			
Semester 1			
Schlester 1		-	



4 <sup>th</sup> Year Semester 2				
Semester 2				
Include addition	nal	years if needed	4	
			_	

3. Program Learning Outcome Assessment. Design a program learning outcome assessment plan using the NCAAA accreditation four year cycle. By the end of the four year cycle all program learning outcomes are to be assessed using KPIs with benchmarks and analysis, national or international standardized testing if available, rubrics, exams and grade analysis, or some alternative scientific measure of student performance.

KPI	NQF Learning Domains	Method of	Date of Assessment
#	and Learning Outcomes	Assessment	
1.0	Knowledge		
1.1			
1.2			
1.3			
1.4			
2.0	Cognitive Skills		
		1	1
2.1			
2.2			
2.3			
2.4			
3.0	Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility		
2.1		1	
3.1			
3.2			
4.0	Communication, Information Technology	, Numerical	
4.1			
4.2			
5.0	Psychomotor		
		1	1
5.1			
5.2			

Provide an analysis of the Four (five/six-) Year Program Learning Outcome Assessment Cycle (List strengths and recommendations).



outcomes are to be assessed and reported in the *Annual Program Report*(s). Normally a program has 6 to 8 program learning outcomes. Therefore 1 to 3 learning outcomes are directly assessed each year.

The KPI table is used to document directly assessed program learning outcomes. Assessments methods may include: national or international standardized test results, rubrics, exams and grade analysis, or learning achievement using an alternative scientific assessment system (copy the *KPI Assessment Table* and paste to make additional tables as needed).

*KPI Assessment Table* (Institutionally approved for the program)

KPI # Program	n KPI:
Assessment Year	Program Learning Outcome:
NQF Learning Domain	
Target Benchmark	
KPI Actual	
Benchmark	7 1 1
Internal Benchmark	
External Benchmark	
New Target	
Benchmark	
Analysis: (List strengths	and recommendations)



3. Orientation programs for new teaching staff
Orientation programs provided? Yes No If offered how many participated?
a. Brief Description
b. List recommendations for improvement by teaching staff.

c. If orientation programs were not provided, give reasons.

4. Professional Development Activities for Faculty, Teaching and Other Staff		How many Participated	
a. Activities Provided	Teaching Staff	Other Staff	

Template 3 \_ Annual Report template \_SSRP\_4 JULY 2013



b. Summary analysis on usefulness of activities based on participant's evaluations or other evaluation methods.

H. Independent Opinion on Quality of the Program after Considering Draft Report (e.g. head of another similar department/ program offering comment on evidence received and conclusions reached) (Attach notes)

1. Matters Raised by Evaluator Giving Opinion	Comment by Program Coordinator
	9
2. Implications for Planning for the Program	



المملكة العربية السعودية الهيئة الوطنية للتقويم والاعتماد الأكاديمي

# I. Action Plan Progress Report

	Planned	Person		
Actions Planned	Completion Date	Responsible	Completed	If Not Complete, Give Reasons
			0 Mar	
	Planned	Person		
Actions Planned	Completion	Responsible	Completed	If Not Complete, Give Reasons
	Date			
).				
Actions Planned	Planned Completion Date	Person Responsible	Completed	If Not Complete, Give Reasons
<u>.</u>				
Actions Planned	Planned Completion Date	Person Responsible	Completed	If Not Complete, Give Reasons
l.				

- 7.



2. Proposals for Program Development
a. Proposals for Changes to Program Structure (units/credit-hours, compulsory or optional courses, other)
b. Proposals for Changes to Courses, (deletions and additions of units or topics, changes in teaching or assessment procedures etc.)
c. Development Activities for Faculty and Teaching Staff

3. New Action Plan for Academic Year				
Actions Required	Completion Date	Person Responsible		
a.				
b.				
с.				
d.				
e.				



Program Chair/ Coordinator N	Name:
Signature:	Date Report Completed:
Received by:	Dean/Department Head
Signature:	Date:
	al Dar -
	10/1